

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) and (b) Of the 4 I. C. S. Officers allotted to the cadre of the New Mysore State, one is permanently seconded to the Indian Foreign Service. The other three officers are serving with the Government of India against the deputation quota. When any of them becomes available for service in the State, there will be no difficulty in utilising their services.

Sanction of Loans and Subsidies to each Panchayat for construction of Bore-Wells in Villages.

*Q.—622. **Sri M. C. ANJANEYA REDDY** (Chintamani).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether they would take steps to sanction loans and subsidies to each Panchayat with a view to enable them to construct bore-wells for providing drinking water facilities in village where people are put to hardships for want of such facilities?

A.—Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE (Minister for Co-operation and Development).—

Loans and subsidies (grants) are already being sanctioned to Panchayats for sinking not only bore-wells but also ordinary wells and piped water schemes from rivers and tanks on the needs and merits of each case. The scheme is continuing.

Proposals for the Constitution of three additional All-India Services.

*Q.—683. **Sri S. M. KRISHNA** (Maddur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether proposals for the constitution of additional All India Services on Forest, Health and Engineering are received by the Mysore

Government from the Central Government recently;

(b) whether they have taken decisions with reference to these new services;

(c) if not, when do they intend taking decisions in this regard?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes.

(b) and (c) The details of the scheme are under examination and the comments of the State Government will be forwarded to the Government of India soon.

Member's Representations.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಈ ದೇಶದ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ನಿನ್ನೆ ತಾನೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ವರದಿಗಾರರನ್ನು ಕರೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ನಮ್ಮ ದೇಶದ ಹೊರ ಭಾಗಗಳನ್ನು ನಮ್ಮೊಡನೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ, ನೀತಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಒಂದು ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು, ಈ ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿರುವಾಗ, ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ವರದಿಗಾರರಿಗೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಕೊಠಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನಿತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇದರಿಂದಾಗಿ ಅವರು ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ತ್ಯಜಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅವರು ಹೀಗೆ ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆಯ ಘನತೆ ಗೌರವಗಳಿಗೆ ಹ್ಯುತಿಯನ್ನು ಆಗಾಗ್ಗೆ ತರುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹೊರದ ಸಾರಿಯೂ ಒಮ್ಮೆ ಇದೇ ರೀತಿ ಆಗಿದ್ದನ್ನು ಇದೇ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಭಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದಿದ್ದೆ. ತಾವು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದಿರಿ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I think it was subsequently disposed of. The impression that the member is creating is that I still kept it hanging, but I have disposed of it on the floor of the House.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—The Hon'ble Speaker said that he would look into it when it was raised.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Subsequently, it was disposed of in the House.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.—ಕ್ಯಾಸರಗೋಡಿನ ಸೇರ್ಪಡೆಯ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು, ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಅನೇಕ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಒಂದು ನಿರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವಾಗ, ಆ ವಿಷಯ ಇನ್ನೂ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಾಗದೆ ಇರುವಾಗ, ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಶಾಸನ ಸಭೆಯು ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವ ಈ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ, ತಮ್ಮ ಕೊಠಡಿಗೆ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ವರದಿಗಾರರನ್ನು ಕರೆಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ತಾವು ಏನು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದೇವೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಗೌರವಕ್ಕೆ ಕುಂದು ತಂದಂತಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಅಪಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿದಂತಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಗಮನವನ್ನು

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ)

ಇತ್ತ ಸೇರಿದ ಅಂಶವೆಂದು direction ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಹೇಗೆಯಾದರೂ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಾದವರು ಸಭೆಯ ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಇಂಥ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದು ಸತ್ಯಂಪ್ರದಾಯವಲ್ಲ; ಪಾರ್ಲಿಮೆಂಟರಿ ನೀತಿಗನುಸಾರವಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು. ಈ ಹೊತ್ತಿನೋ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೂ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದ ತೀವ್ರತೆಯನ್ನು ಅವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಗೌರವವನ್ನು ಕಾಪಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

†ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಲಿ ಚನ್ನಪ್ಪ ಶಂಕರಪ್ಪ (ನಂಪಗಾಂ)-ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಮೊನ್ನೆ ಯದಿವಸ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಅನೇಕ ತರದಿಂದ ನಿನಿಂತಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು, ಕಾಸರಗೋಡಿನ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಸಮಾಧಾನಕರವಾದ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ಎಂದು ಕೇಳಿದರೂ ಅವರು ಏನೂ ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಹೀಗಿರುವಾಗ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊರಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಈ ರೀತಿ ವಚನ ಕೊಡುವುದರ ಅರ್ಥ ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಅದೇ ಮಾನವ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರೆ ಸಮಾಧಾನವಾಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದಿತು. ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡದೆ ನಾವು ಹೇಳಿಕೆಗಳ ಮುಖಾಂತರ ಈ ಸಂಗತಿಯನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಸರಿಯೇ? ನಾನೇನೂ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ allegation ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರೇ ಅವನ್ನೇ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದಿತ್ತು, ಅಷ್ಟೆ.

†Sri S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).—Sir, this is a question which can be referred to the Privileges Committee because it reflects on the dignity of the House. As my friend Sri Vali Channappa pointed out this very question was raised on the floor of this House and that was in connection with the demonstration that was held outside the House and at that time the Chief Minister was present in the House and he replied in one sentence "we are looking into it". I question the hurry for rushing to the Press even as the Assembly is in session to make such an important policy announcement outside the House. So with all respects to the Chair, I would submit that this is a fit question to be referred to the Privileges Committee.

Sri GANJI VEERAPPA (Harihar).—It is not a matter of privilege of the House.

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜೆ. ದೊಡ್ಡಮೇಟಿ (ರೋಣ್ಣೆ).—ಸ್ಪೀಕರ್, ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮಾತನ್ನು ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ನನ್ನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯೇನೂ ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ತಿಳಿಯದ ಹೊಸ ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲ. ಇದನ್ನೇ ಮೊನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟಪಡಿಸಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರು. ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಸಹಾನುಭೂತಿಯಿಂದ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಅದನ್ನು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಪಟ್ಟು ಕಾಸರಗೋಡನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂಬುದೇ ಎಂದು ಸಂಕ್ಷೇಪ

ಪಡಿಸಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರು. ಇದನ್ನೇ ನನ್ನ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯವರ ಮುಂದೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪವಿಸ್ತಾರವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದೇನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲ, ಈ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಕೃತಜ್ಞರಾಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಹೇಳುವ ಹಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲ. ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ಗಮನವನ್ನು ಸೆರೆಹಿಡಿದ ವಿಷಯ ಯಾವುದೂ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ (ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ).—ಈಗ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಿತ್ರರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಶಿವಪ್ಪನವರು ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀಕೃಷ್ಣ ಅವರ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ತಂದಿರುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತುಂಬಾ ಅರ್ಥವಿದೆ. ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ದೊಡ್ಡಮೇಟಿಯವರು, ಇದೇನೂ ಹೊಸ ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿ, ಇದನ್ನೇನೂ ಅಷ್ಟಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾದ್ದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಪಟ್ಟರು. ಈ ಹಿಂದೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯ ಒಂದು ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿತ್ತು. ಕಾಸರಗೋಡು ಜನರು ಬಂದಾಗ ನಡೆದಂಥ ಪ್ರಶ್ನಾರ್ಥನದ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಾಗಬೇಕೆಂದು ಒಂದು ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಕೂಡ ಬಂದಿದೆ, ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನೂ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ವಿಷಯ ನಮ್ಮ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದಿರುವಾಗ, ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಗೌರವ ತರತಕ್ಕದ್ದಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ನಂಬಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಡುವಂತೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಅವರನ್ನು ಒತ್ತಾಯಪಡಿಸಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಅವರ ಹೇಳಿದ ಹಾಗೆ ಪ್ರಿವಿಲೇಜಸ್ ಕಮಿಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಕಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾನು ಒತ್ತಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri SANJEEVANATHA IKALA (Suratkal).—Sir, I have given notice of a special resolution signed by 51 Hon'ble Members of this august House and requested that a special day be allotted for its discussion, but that resolution has not been brought before the House. If it had been brought before the House, this question would not have arisen at all.

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಶೆಟ್ಟಿ (ಮಂಗಳೂರು-II).—ಇದೊಂದೇ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕವಾದ ಧೋರಣೆಯ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ದೊಡ್ಡಮೇಟಿಯವರು ಹೇಳಿದರು ಆದರೆ ಇದು ಧೋರಣೆಯ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ಧೋರಣೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಜೀವನಾಥ ಅವರು ಕಳಿಸಿದ ನಿರ್ಣಯ, ಮತ್ತು 51 ಜನ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಇದೇ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇದ್ದಿತು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅನೇಕವಿಧದಿಂದ ಒಂದೇ ಒಂದು ವಾಕ್ಯದಿಂದ ಸಮಾಧಾನ ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಆದರೆ ಪ್ರತಿಕ್ರಿಯೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂದರ್ಶನ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ದೀರ್ಘವಾದ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಂಶವಲ್ಲ ಮತ್ತು ಗೌರವಕ್ಕೆ ಹಕ್ಕಿ ಬರುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ತಮ್ಮ ಅಧಿಪತ್ಯ ಕೂಡುವುದು ಲಗತ್ಯ.

Mr. SPEAKER. I have to request the House to bear in mind that if points of order of this type are going to be raised, members should tell me about them before I come to the House. I suppose that it is a very well-known

convention. I do not know why the Leader of the Opposition has not observed it, because at the moment I find that I have to send for the copies of the proceedings. He has raised it saying that it is a breach of privilege or is opposed to the convention that the Government or the Ministers or the Chief Minister should not make a policy statement outside when the House is in session. I think there has been a ruling by my predecessor with regard to the scope of the Hon'ble Ministers making a policy statement when the Assembly is in session. In this case, however, I am not convinced that there is anything like a policy statement that has been made from what has appeared in the press. I am going to send for it. So far as the Kasargod question is concerned, he has already made a statement on the floor of the House. If he had not made a statement like that I may say that the mind of the Government or of the Chief Minister has not been disclosed, that the House is not aware of it, that it has been mentioned for the first time and that he should have taken the House into his confidence in the first instance before making such a statement outside. The other day this question was raised and I asked the Hon'ble the Chief Minister whether he wanted to say anything in reply. He then said that this was a question which Government would view with all sympathy. He also said that he would do all that was possible. I do not know the exact words he used because in the multitude of words mentioned by Hon'ble Members it is not possible to remember the exact words used by them. One thing is, however, certain viz. that he said that as much of Kasargod as possible would be brought into the Mysore State. I do not think he has said anything beyond that.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—Please have a look into the matter and then give a ruling.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why do members raise it without telling about it beforehand? If I had been told beforehand, I could have got all the relevant proceedings.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA. Even now it is not late.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I take it that the House wants me to go through all the papers and give some ruling. I do want every member to bear in mind that if they raise any point without giving prior notice to me, I will simply say that I have not heard it or I may say that it need not be recorded in the proceedings.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA (Hebbur).—I would like to remind the Chair about the point of order raised by my friend Sri Muckannappa regarding the participation by the Deputy Speaker in voting.

Mr. SPEAKER. I want to know whether the Hon'ble Member has read the matter relevant to that. I have read it.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, I do not know of any State Legislature where the Deputy Speaker has participated in voting. If there is any convention or rule in that behalf, I wanted to have the guidance of the Chair in the form of a ruling.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is exactly why I asked him whether he has got any rule in support of what he says.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, In this House many a time so many matters have been put to vote, but the Deputy Speaker has not taken part in the voting. If the Chair feels that such a thing has happened in some other Legislature, and if the Chair throws some light on this matter, it will guide us.

Mr. SPEAKER. After the point was raised, I read all the literature on the point. I do want the members also to study similarly the relevant literature and not merely to propound conundrums and ask me to answer them. Whenever the members raise a point of order, I do want them to think about it and not simply say, "I have got this problem; won't you solve it for me?"

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—We have raised a point and it is up to the Chair to give the ruling because the Chair's ruling will guide the discussions and the conduct of business in the House. It

(SRI C. J. MUCKANNAPPA)

is the sacred duty of the Hon'ble Chair to apprise itself of all the matters and then say, 'this is not correct and this is correct and you must follow this' Now, the Hon'ble Speaker was pleased to say that no member should raise any point without bringing it to its notice beforehand.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If that is the nature of the point that is going to be raised, then it does not amount to a point of order. I, therefore, do suggest and do appeal to every member to study a point before it is raised. If they simply come and say, "I have got a doubt; won't you solve it for me?" I would say that I am most willing to do it in my chamber but that I am not prepared to waste the time of the House as it is intended for some other purpose. I have told the members that I have studied it and that I am going to give a ruling. Sri Lakkappa has not read it and I advise him to read.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ (ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ).—ಕಾಲಿಂಗ್ ಅಟಿನ್‌ಷನ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಮನವಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am examining that. I understand that it is *sub judice*. I am getting particulars as to at what stage it is. If it is *sub-judice*, I cannot allow it.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಇದು ಸಬ್ ಜುಡಿಸ್ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ನನಗೆ ತಿಳಿದು ಬಂದಿದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon. member may know it but I must also know it.

Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA.—If I am convinced that it is *sub judice*, I may agree. I am very, very clear that the calling attention motion which I have sent does not involve anything which can come under *sub judice*.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will take a note of it and consider it.

MYSORE SALES-TAX (SECOND AMENDMENT) BILL, 1962.

Motion to consider.

(Debate continued)

Sri G. V. GOWDA (Palyam).—Yesterday I was pleading that the

licence fee has been removed and the dealers have been placed in an advantageous position. The Committee has recommended $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent increase and the proposed levy is 1 per cent on single point, on raw silk Sir. at the outset, let me make it clear that this levy would affect adversely the Silk Industry, because in the Select Committee, before we adopted the 1957 Act, it was discussed threadbare and we came to the conclusion that the present position, *i.e.*, levying 0.1 per cent on the producer and levying 0.15 per cent on the dealer, work well in the interest of the industry as well as consumers. Therefore, I submit that the present system should be allowed to continue. If we levy one per cent at one point, it will fall on the primary producer and so I request the hon. Minister to hear me for a moment so far as this point is concerned. Now the primary producer is paying Rs. 10 for every Rs. 1,000 turnover and the dealer is paying Rs. 15 for every thousand rupees turnover. That means to say $4 \times 1.5 = 6$ per cent plus 0.1 per cent that is to be paid by the primary producer which comes to 7 per cent, *i.e.*, Rs. 70 for every Rs. 1,000. This is sought to be raised to one per cent. It will hit the primary producer. In the existing system it has been distributed at various levels and nobody is feeling any difficulty. On raw silk, if you want to raise the levy from 0.15 per cent to 0.3 per cent it does not also affect the industry. Therefore, I am submitting Sir, that one per cent levy, as proposed in the Bill, on raw silk should not be done, but the existing system should be allowed to continue.

Sir, with regard to twisted silk, the Committee approved $\frac{3}{4}$ per cent and you propose to raise it to two per cent. Let me explain how it affects the industry. If a person sells twisted silk outside the State, he has to collect one per cent tax under the Central Sales Tax Act. 80 per cent of the twisted silk is being exported outside and so we are making a law to the advantage of a consumer outside the State. That means the cost of production here in Mysore State would be more than the cost of production